

July 3, 2014

Kent L. Jones PE  
State Engineer  
Utah Division of Water Rights  
1594 W. North Temple Ste 220  
PO Box 146300  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6300

Dear Mr. Jones,

In regard to a letter written on June 17, 2014 and also regarding a letter of June 30, 2014 from Parr, Brown, Gee & Loveless, regarding the decision the State made on storage in Three Creeks Reservoir, I need to make some clarification and corrections.

In writing the letter of June 17, 2014, I did not at all understand the difference between Kents Lake and Three Creeks storage rights. The circumstance of when each can store water are completely different and I assumed they were the same.

After taking the time to somewhat enlightening myself concerning the operation of the two reservoirs, which I should have done before I wrote the above mentioned letter, I realize that some of my statements were inaccurate.

I do not support Rocky Ford's position regarding Three Creeks after I learned how Kents Lake stores water. I find when Three Creeks is storing, we below Patterson Dam, must have a full water right, therefore, we could not possibly be harmed by their storage, and to some degree benefit by their releasing water later in the season. It cannot possibly do harm and unarguably helps to some small degree with the recharge by which we live.

Rocky Ford had the 1967 memorandum voided and they can live with the consequence thereof. We gave them 450+- acre feet of water last October that they did not have coming, what we got in exchange was "too bad, use it or loose it". This 450+- reflects the workability of the 1967 memorandum agreement, however they were not interested in any agreement as stated in the annual Beaver River meeting 2014, so we will not make that mistake again. We are not fine with the Beaver River Decree below the Patterson Dam, as Rocky Ford continues to act foolish and waste water.

We below the Patterson Dam are simply concerned with protecting our water rights below the Dam and above Rocky Ford.

Anyone reading this letter, or the one on June 17<sup>th</sup> should NOT assume we support Rocky Ford's position on the Three Creeks matter.

RECEIVED

JUL 07 2014

WATER RIGHTS  
SALT LAKE

MC

Rocky Ford has done nothing to indicate that any workable solution could possibly be reached between us, because they have no desire to be fair, they are only concerned about themselves. They voided the 1967 agreement, they implemented river loss to the benefit of no one, they took all the water on Sept. 5, 2013 as stated above and got more water than they were entitled to (see chart on State website). Why would anyone with any common sense be part of what they are trying to do? There is nothing available for anyone except Rocky Ford and we are not interested in that attitude.

We support the decision the State Water Engineer made regarding storage of water in Three Creeks. It is accurate and on point.

Thank you for your time and consideration of this matter.

Respectfully,

 BRUCE BROWN

 TANISH EDWARDS